

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISS Washingto

demark Office	I
SIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS (m)	ŋ
on, D.C. 20231	y_

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A lication No.	Applic⊣nt(s)
,	√251, 172	DER JA AMMAR
Office Action Summary	Exam ner	Art Unit
	าลาสา J. Rams ev	28∤€
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	rus on the cover and duith the c	orris; o dence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of the communication in the communication of the GA to MOTORS for the mailing, rate of the communication. • If the period for ready shorted above is cased a front of Control and in the Communication of the com	event, howevel, analy a reply be time that the datutory minimum of thirty (30) days are as I will expire SI (15) to ENTHS from the sign of the I will expire SI (15).	oly there val to c . Second time y he man a . Second the
Any reply received by the Office later than those months after the mailing earned patent ferril adjoistment. See 37 FE (104(b)) Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This	action is non-fined.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa- closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	Decept for forms brotters inc	oseculi mas to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	,	- - .
4) Claim. Sc is/are pends on the apple at		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray-	Petri Considerato)	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e reflect requirement	
Application Papers	as as roquirons as	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are_laarces	d or build objected to by the Exam	iner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Luvino(s) be held in a hevance. See	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	s: a) approved b) disapprov	ed has Evaminar
if applied corrected draivinus are required in ren	to this Office action.	ed t _i - c Examiner
12) The path or declaration is of rested to by the Excit	าร์าอา	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	ຕ່ວກໄປ under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	(ci) no fe
a) All to Some * c) None of:		(u) - (- 1 - 1
1. Certified copies of the priority documents in	iova *een received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n N c
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burns * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	Chouments have been received	in thi National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic of	ciprity under 35 D S C 8 119(a)	(to a requisional application)
a) _] The translation of the fixeign language pro≪	ional application are been received	ind
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domeste ↓	erionty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	na/or 121
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO) (2) 2) Notice of Drafts, Prich's Patent Draft numer, epig PTO (945) 3) Notice of Drafts, Prich's Patent Draft numer, epig PTO (945) 3) Notice of References PTO (945) 4449, Paper Nois)	4) Light rylew Summary (P 5) No de of Informal Pate 6) Colour	TO 1 Paper No. 91 en' il p. 3 dien (PTC 152)
PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Christian	

Art Unit: 2879

Prior Art Rejections

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodson et al in view of Benjamin et al. Hodson et al discloses tiling 4 or more emitter base plates, independently addressable, to provide a large area display with a fast display refresh rate. As shown by Benjamin et al, column 7, line 62 through column 8, line 21, it was also known in the display art to provide addressing of a monolithic display from opposite sides to increase the refresh rate. Thus the advantage of a fast refresh rate occurs whether or not the display is monolithic or not. Therefore, since it is well known that a monolithic display has certain advantages of manufacture, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to provide a field emission display with independent addressing from opposed sides as in Hodson et al in, with the difference in that the display is monolithic lieu of the tiled display of Hodson et al. The details of manufacture of the display as claimed are otherwise well known in the art.

Directions for Responses

Any formal response to this communication should be directed to examiner Kenneth Ramsey, Art Unit 2879, and either

faxed to:

703-872-9318;

or mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner For Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2879

Technical inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Kenneth J. Ramsey, (703) 308-2324 (voice), (703) 746-4832 (fax).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kenneth J. Ramsey
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879

kjr

September 20, 2001